

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
FLORENCE DIVISION

Mary L. Weathers, )  
                        )  
Plaintiff,           )  
                        )  
vs.                   ) Civil Action No.: 3:10-cv-272-TLW-JRM  
                        )  
Michael J. Astrue, )  
Commissioner of Social Security, )  
                        )  
Defendant.           )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
                        )

**ORDER**

The plaintiff, Mary L. Weathers (“plaintiff”), brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) to obtain judicial review of a final decision of the defendant, Commissioner of Social Security (“Commissioner” or “defendant”), denying her claim for Disability Insurance Benefits. This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Joseph R. McCrorey, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2), DSC. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the decision of the Commissioner be reversed pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and that the case be remanded to the Commissioner for further administrative action. (Doc. # 22). On September 12, 2011, the Commissioner filed a reply to the Report, indicating the Commissioner would not file objections to the Report. (Doc. # 23).

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. §

636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. It is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED**. (Doc. # 22). For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, the Commissioner's decision is **REVERSED** pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and this case is remanded to the Commissioner for further administrative action.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Terry L. Wooten  
United States District Judge

September 20, 2011

Florence, South Carolina